



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:) Group Art Unit: 3764
REHM, Jason E. et al.	Examiner: R. Krishnamurthy
Serial No.: 10/666,466) I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE AS FIRST CLASS
Filed: September 16, 2003	MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 ON MAIL IN AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 ON
For: Composite Polymer Microfluidic Control Device	BY: Lere Sutchakon

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the office action of May 20, 2005, applicant elects, with traverse, to prosecute the claims of Group I as defined by the examiner, namely claims 1-20.

Applicant submits herewith new claim 34, which is a method claim very similar to claim 21, but dependent off of claim 1. Since claim 34 depends from claim 1, it should also be examined. Moreover, it is respectfully submitted that the claims of Group II are not independent and distinct.

The claims, including the addition of new claim 34, are presented at the beginning of the following page.